



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Evaluation Report



Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil
and commercial matters*

Destinatários: Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da
área civil e comercial

Target audience: Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks
working in the civil and commercial areas



Judicial Court of the District of Vila Real

November 27 and 28, 2025



Co-funded by
the European Union



IMPROVE JUSTICE
COURT-TO-COURT



Ponto de Contacto de PORTUGAL
Rede Judiciária Europeia
em matéria civil e comercial



CSM
Conselho Superior
da Magistratura



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Index

Introduction	3
Characterization of the Trainee Group	3
Global Results.....	3
Overall Assessment - Judges	3
Overall Assessment – Bailiffs.....	3
Results by Question.....	3
Syllabus - Judges.....	3
Program Content – Bailiffs	3
Trainers – Judges.....	3
Trainers – Bailiffs.....	4
Organisation of training – Judges.....	4
Organization of Training – Bailiffs	4
Results and expectations – Judges.....	4
Results and expectations – Bailiffs.....	4
Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges.....	4
Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs.....	4
Comments and/or suggestions – Judges.....	5
Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs.....	5
Gender – Judges	6
Gender – Bailiffs	6
Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training.....	7
Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs	8
Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges.....	9
Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs	9
Annex V – Photographs.....	10



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the evaluation questionnaires of the training process administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was performed.

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 3 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Vila Real, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 6 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of Vila Real, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 67% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 78% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 100%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 83%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 100%.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 61%.

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 100%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 67%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 100% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 67% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges


Among the four available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service was considered by the trainees as the most useful subject for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: VILA REAL (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	2	3	67%	67%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	2	3	67%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	2	3	67%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					3	3	100%	100%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					3	3	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					3	3	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					3	3	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					3	3	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate					3	3	100%	100%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					3	3	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					3	3	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					3	3	100%	100%
This training will be of practical use in your work					3	3	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					3	3	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					3	3	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						1	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						1	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						3	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						1	-	
Gender								%
Man						1	33%	
Woman						2	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: VILA REAL (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					6	6	100%	78%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	5	6	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	3	6	50%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				3	3	6	50%	83%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	5	6	83%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					6	6	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					6	6	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	5	6	83%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				2	4	6	67%	61%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	4	6	67%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			1	2	3	6	50%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	4	6	67%	67%
This training will be of practical use in your work				2	4	6	67%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	4	6	67%	67%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	4	6	67%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						4	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						1	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						0	-	
Gender								
Man						3	50%	
Woman						3	50%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges



1	Eduç
2	Marg
3	Mari

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Antón
2	José
3	Maria
4	Maria
5	Sérg
6	Tere

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

