



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

# Evaluation Report



## Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em  
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil  
and commercial matters*

**Destinatários:** Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da  
área civil e comercial

**Target audience:** Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks  
working in the civil and commercial areas



## Judicial Court of the District of Beja

December 19, 2025



Co-funded by  
the European Union



IMPROVE JUSTICE  
COURT-TO-COURT



Ponto de Contacto de PORTUGAL  
Rede Judiciária Europeia  
em matéria civil e comercial



CSM  
Conselho Superior  
da Magistratura



## Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Table of Contents

Introduction .....	3
Characterization of the Trainee Group .....	3
Global Results.....	3
Overall Assessment - Judges .....	3
Overall Assessment – Bailiffs.....	3
Results by Question.....	3
Syllabus - Judges.....	3
Program Content – Bailiffs .....	3
Trainers – Judges.....	3
Trainers – Bailiffs.....	4
Organisation of training – Judges.....	4
Organization of Training – Bailiffs .....	4
Results and expectations – Judges.....	4
Results and expectations – Bailiffs.....	4
Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges.....	4
Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs.....	5
Comments and/or suggestions – Judges.....	5
Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs.....	5
Gender – Judges .....	6
Gender – Bailiffs .....	6
Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training.....	7
Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs .....	8
Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges.....	9
Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs .....	9
Annex V – Photographs.....	10



## Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the evaluation questionnaires of the training process administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was performed.

### Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 10 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Beja, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 7 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Beja who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

### Global Results

#### Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 90% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

#### Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 100% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

### Results by Question

#### Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 93%.

#### Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 67%.

#### Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 94%.

## Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 86%.

### Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 87%.

### Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 86%.

### Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 95% of answers "*I totally agree*".

### Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 71% of answers "*I totally agree*".

### Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, with multiple answers being allowed, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



## Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, with multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



### Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generically, the answers point to:

- Reinforce the duration of the sessions.

### Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was answered by 3 trainees.

Generically, the answers point to:


- Positive feedback from training;
- Reinforce the duration of the sessions.

**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Gender – Judges**



**Gender – Bailiffs**



**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training**

TOPICS: BEJA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Program content</b>								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	9	10	90%	93%
The topics covered are useful for your work					10	10	100%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	9	10	90%	
<b>Trainers</b>								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	9	10	90%	94%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					10	10	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	9	10	90%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	9	10	90%	
The trainers managed their training time well					10	10	100%	
<b>Organization of training</b>								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				3	7	10	70%	87%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	9	10	90%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					10	10	100%	
<b>Results and expectations</b>								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	9	10	90%	95%
This training will be of practical use in your work					10	10	100%	
<b>Overall assessment</b>								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	9	10	90%	90%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	9	10	90%	
<b>Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?</b>								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						3	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						3	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
<b>Gender</b>								<b>%</b>
Man						4	40%	
Woman						6	60%	
Other						0	0%	

\* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs**

TOPICS: BEJA (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Program content</b>								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	6	7	86%	67%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	5	7	71%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2		2	3	7	43%	
<b>Trainers</b>								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				2	5	7	71%	86%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1		6	7	86%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				2	5	7	71%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					7	7	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					7	7	100%	
<b>Organization of training</b>								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1			6	7	86%	86%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1	1	5	7	71%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					7	7	100%	
<b>Results and expectations</b>								
Pre-training expectations were met			1	1	5	7	71%	71%
This training will be of practical use in your work				2	5	7	71%	
<b>Overall assessment</b>								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					7	7	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					7	7	100%	
<b>Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?</b>								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						5	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						1	-	
<b>Gender</b>								
Man						3	43%	
Woman						4	57%	
Other						0	0%	

\* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges**


1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Dar
4	Huç
5	Huç
6	Lari
7	Már
8	Mar
9	Rer
10	Rút

**Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs**

1	Eu
2	Joé
3	Jos
4	Líd
5	Ma
6	Rit
7	Ru

**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex V – Photographs**





**IMPROVE JUSTICE**  
COURT-TO-COURT

**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**



Co-funded by  
the European Union



**IMPROVE JUSTICE**  
COURT-TO-COURT



Ponto de Contacto de PORTUGAL  
Rede Judiciária Europeia  
em matéria civil e comercial



**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**



**Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**



**Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

