

Evaluation Report



IMPROVE JUSTICE
COURT-TO-COURT



Sessões de Direito Comunitário
EU law sessions

Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em matéria civil e comercial
European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil and commercial matters

Destinatários: Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da área civil e comercial
Target audience: Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks working in the civil and commercial areas



Workshops realised in the 23 District Courts of Portugal

2024/2025



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Index

Introduction	3
1. Porto Este District Judicial Court	4
2. Porto District Judicial Court.....	12
3. Aveiro District Judicial Court	22
4. Viseu District Judicial Court	31
5. Azores District Judicial Court.....	39
6. Setúbal District Judicial Court	48
7. Santarém District Judicial Court	58
8. Castelo Branco District Judicial Court	68
9. Guarda District Judicial Court.....	76
10. Lisboa Norte District Judicial Court	84
11. Leiria Norte District Judicial Court.....	94
12. Coimbra District Judicial Court.....	104
13. Lisboa Oeste Judicial District Court.....	114
14. Lisboa Judicial District Court	124
15. Madeira Judicial District Court	135
16. Portalegre Judicial District Court.....	145
17. Évora Judicial District Court	154
18. Bragança Judicial District Court.....	163
19. Vila Real Judicial District Court.....	173
20. Viana do Castelo Judicial District Court.....	183
21. Braga Judicial District Court	195
22. Faro Judicial District Court	208
23. Beja Judicial District Court.....	221
Conclusion	233
Annex I – Global results.....	234



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Introduction

Within the scope of the *Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C – GA 101138174)* project, a comprehensive series of training sessions was implemented across the 23 judicial districts of Portugal. This national initiative aimed to strengthen the knowledge and practical application of the main European Union instruments in civil and commercial matters, while fostering direct cooperation between courts in different Member States.

The training sessions, aimed at both judges and bailiffs, focused on promoting a consistent and effective understanding of EU regulations — in particular Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on the service of judicial documents and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence. These instruments were identified by participants, through training needs questionnaires sent in advance to the district’s courts, as the most relevant and useful for their daily professional activities, reflecting the growing importance of European procedural law in the administration of justice.

Each district-level training was evaluated through anonymous questionnaires completed by the trainees in the end of each session. The collected data, processed through descriptive statistical analysis and complemented by qualitative feedback, revealed a strong overall satisfaction. Participants particularly highlighted the high pedagogical quality of trainers, the relevance of the program content, and the adequacy of organizational and administrative support. In several districts, satisfaction rates reached or exceeded 90%, and most respondents stated they would recommend the training to their colleagues.

The results obtained demonstrate the success of this coordinated national effort. They also underline the judiciary’s continued commitment to promoting judicial cooperation in civil and commercial matters and to ensuring the uniform and effective application of European Union law throughout the Portuguese judicial system.

Through these training initiatives, the Portuguese judiciary reinforces its alignment with the objectives of the European Judicial Network in Civil and Commercial Matters and consolidates a culture of cooperation, specialization, and knowledge sharing among judicial professionals. This sustained investment in capacity-building contributes directly to enhancing the quality, efficiency, and European dimension of justice in Portugal.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

1. Porto Este District Judicial Court

22nd February 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 10 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Porto Este and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The remaining 2 trainees, Advisors from the Support Office for the Judicial Magistrates of the District of Porto Este, expressed interest in participating in the training, and the organization agreed to the request, given the need for training in these matters.

The second group of trainees was made up of 15 Justice Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Porto Este and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 96% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 50% of the trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 86%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 53%.





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judges

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 98%.

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 73%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with training schedules have an average rate of 89%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 47%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 83% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 50% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges


Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the two options available, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, was considered by the trainees to be the most useful subject for their professional activity.



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generally the answers point to:

- Increase the frequency with which this type of training actions occur.
- Go into more detail about the European legislation in question.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally the answer points to:


- The extension of training to other Justice Officers.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: PORTO ESTE (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	0	1	11	12	92%	86%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	0	2	10	12	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	0	0	2	10	12	83%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	0	0	12	12	100%	98%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	0	0	12	12	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	0	1	11	12	92%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	0	12	12	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	0	12	12	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	0	0	1	11	12	92%	89%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	0	1	11	12	92%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	0	2	10	12	83%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	0	2	10	12	83%	83%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	0	2	10	12	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	0	0	12	12	100%	96%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	0	1	11	12	92%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work? **								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784							9	-
Tacking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783							9	-
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111							5	-
Gender								
Man							1	8%
Woman							11	92%
Other							0	0%

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** Answer ignored by 1 trainee

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: PORTO ESTE (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degreee *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	2	4	9	15	60%	53%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	1	4	10	15	67%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	1	5	4	5	15	33%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	1	6	8	15	53%	73%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	1	3	11	15	73%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	1	5	9	15	60%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	0	15	15	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	2	1	12	15	80%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	1	2	6	6	15	40%	47%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	2	5	8	15	53%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	1	0	3	4	7	15	47%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	2	2	5	6	15	40%	50%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	2	4	9	15	60%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	1	7	7	15	47%	50%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	3	4	8	15	53%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work? **								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						12	-	
Tacking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						10	-	
Gender**								
Man						4	29%	
Woman						10	71%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** Answer ignored by 1 trainee



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Carl
5	Hele
6	Iola
7	Luís
8	Mar
9	Mar
10	Nun
11	Pau
12	Rui

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Alex
2	Altin
3	Ana
4	Carl
5	Carl
6	Carl
7	Dani
8	Dian
9	Engr
10	Hele
11	Isab
12	Mar
13	Mar
14	Sanc
15	Sola

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

2. Porto District Judicial Court

23rd February 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 20 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Porto and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 58 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Porto and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 92% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 75% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 85%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 57%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 85%.





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 76%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 82%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 61%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 83% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 65% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 7 trainees.

Generally the answers point to:

- Carrying out more training activities on a regular basis within the scope of other European Regulations;
- More time to develop questions;
- Analysis of concrete cases, simulating research/due diligence, with analysis of applicable jurisprudence;
- Carrying out training actions in the area of insolvency and seizure.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 25 trainees.

Generally the answers point to:


- Need for more training, considering the increase in the number of cross-border requests;
- Creation of training actions aimed at Public Ministry services;
- Increase the duration of training actions;
- Practical component

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: PORTO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	0	3	17	20	85%	85%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	0	2	18	20	90%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	0	1	3	16	20	80%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	0	4	16	20	80%	85%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	0	1	19	20	95%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	0	3	17	20	85%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	3	17	20	85%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	4	16	20	80%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	0	2	4	14	20	70%	82%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	0	2	18	20	90%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	0	3	18	21	86%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	0	5	15	20	75%	83%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	0	2	18	20	90%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	0	2	17	19	89%	92%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	0	1	18	19	95%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work? **								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						17	-	
Tacking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						18	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111						4	-	
Gender								
								%
Man						2	12%	
Woman						15	88%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** Answer ignored by 1 trainee

*** Answer ignored by 1 trainee

**** Answer ignored by 3 trainees

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: PORTO (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	1	4	14	38	57	67%	57%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	1	1	8	47	57	82%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	13	13	19	12	57	21%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	5	16	36	57	63%	76%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	4	5	48	57	84%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees **	0	0	2	10	44	56	79%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts **	0	0	3	4	49	56	88%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	1	4	14	38	57	67%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate **	1	2	11	22	20	56	36%	61%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	1	5	10	41	57	72%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	1	3	10	43	57	75%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	1	6	23	27	57	47%	65%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	2	8	47	57	82%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	4	9	44	57	77%	75%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	6	9	42	57	74%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work? ***								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						52	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						40	-	
Bruxelas IIB - Regulation 2019/111						3	-	
Gender****								
Man						14	25%	
Woman						43	75%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** 1 trainee responded with “ *don’t know/doesn’t answer* ”

*** Question ignored by 1 student

**** Question ignored by 1 student



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Andr
5	Ause
6	Carla
7	Cata
8	Elisa
9	Euni
10	Hele
11	Helg
12	Isabe
13	Isabe
14	Luis
15	Luis
16	Mafa
17	Mari
18	Mari
19	Paul
20	Sóni

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Abíl
2	Aida
3	Am
4	Ana
5	Ana
6	Ana
7	Ana
8	Ana
9	Ant



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

10	Anté
11	Anté
12	Antc
13	Beat
14	Carla
15	Crist
16	Elisa
17	Erme
18	Felic
19	Fern
20	Fern
21	Fern
22	Fern
23	Filipi
24	Fran
25	Gilbe
26	Hele
27	Hele
28	Joaq
29	Laur
30	Laur
31	Liliar
32	Luísa
33	Luísa
34	Marq
35	Mari
36	Mari
37	Mari
38	Mari
39	Mari
40	Mari
41	Mari
42	Mari
43	Mari
44	Mari
45	Mari
46	Mari
47	Mari
48	Mari

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

49	Migu
50	Paul
51	Paul
52	Paul
53	Pedr
54	Rosa
55	Sanc
56	Sofia
57	Tere
58	Vitoi

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

3. Aveiro District Judicial Court

5th June 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 24 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Aveiro and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 41 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Aveiro and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 88% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 59% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 83%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 46%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 82%.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 49%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 65%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 31%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 64% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 49% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally, the answer point to:

- Providing documentation about the Internet tools that can be used and how they work, so that you know how to proceed and the forms to use in each case.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 7 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Need for more training, considering the increase in the number of cross-border requests.
- Increase the duration of training actions.
- Practical component.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: AVEIRO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	0	1	20	21	95%	83%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	0	5	16	21	76%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	0	0	5	16	21	76%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	1	6	14	21	67%	82%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	0	3	18	21	86%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	1	4	16	21	76%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	1	3	20	24	83%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	0	21	21	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	0	3	9	9	21	43%	65%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	0	3	18	21	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	0	7	14	21	67%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	0	9	12	21	57%	64%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	0	6	15	21	71%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	0	4	17	21	81%	88%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	0	1	20	21	95%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						17	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						18	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111						8	-	
Gender							%	
Man						6	29%	
Woman						15	71%	
Other							0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: AVEIRO (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degreee *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	2	12	15	29	52%	46%
The topics covered are useful for your work **	0	0	1	7	20	28	71%	
The duration of the training was adequate **	1	6	9	8	4	28	14%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	3	15	11	29	38%	49%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	1	12	14	27	52%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees ***	0	0	3	12	9	24	38%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts ***	0	0	0	10	15	25	60%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	2	9	15	26	58%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate **	0	2	6	12	8	28	29%	31%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	5	13	8	26	31%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	1	13	4	9	27	33%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	7	12	9	28	32%	49%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	2	7	17	26	65%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	1	11	15	27	56%	59%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	2	8	17	27	63%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work? ****								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						27	-	
Tacking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						23	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						5	-	
Gender							%	
Man						10	32%	
Woman						21	68%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** Question ignored by 1 trainee

*** Question ignored by 2 trainees

**** Question ignored by 4 trainees

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana i
2	Ana l
3	Carla
4	Cata
5	Cláui
6	Dani
7	Dani
8	Hele
9	Inês
10	Joan
11	Joan
12	Jorge
13	José
14	José
15	Mari
16	Mari
17	Mari
18	Nádi
19	Isabe
20	Tere
21	Nunc
22	Nunc
23	Raql
24	Ricai

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Adelin
2	Adelin
3	Ana N
4	Ana O
5	Antóni
6	Antóni
7	Carla .
8	Carlos
9	Carlos
10	Carlos



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

11	Crist
12	Déci
13	Dom
14	Emili
15	Este
16	Eva I
17	Fatin
18	Flort
19	Grac
20	Guid
21	Hele
22	Isabe
23	Márc
24	Marg
25	Mari
26	Mari
27	Mari
28	Mari
29	Mari
30	Mari
31	Marl
32	Móni
33	Paul
34	Paul
35	Paul
36	Raql
37	Sílviz
38	Sopf
39	Tâni
40	Tatia
41	Telm

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

4. Viseu District Judicial Court

4th June 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 6 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Viseu and who registered for the training course, either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District Court Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 26 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Viseu and who registered for the training course, either through the online form provided for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 73% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 89%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 49%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 97%.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 74%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 83%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 55%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 83% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 56% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:

- Carrying out more training activities on a regular basis within the scope of other European Regulations.
- Training in succession.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 10 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Increase the duration of training actions.
- Practical component.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: VISEU (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	89%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	97%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	0	1	1	4	6	67%	83%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	1	0	5	6	83%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	83%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						6	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 861/2007						2	-	
Gender								
Man						2	33%	
Woman						4	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: VISEU (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	4	7	15	26	58%	49%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	2	7	17	26	65%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	2	7	11	6	26	23%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	1	9	16	26	62%	74%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	1	5	20	26	77%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees **	0	0	0	8	17	25	68%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	3	23	26	88%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	7	19	26	73%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	1	4	14	7	26	27%	55%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	3	9	14	26	54%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	2	2	22	26	85%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	1	1	12	12	26	46%	56%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	1	8	17	26	65%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	1	6	19	26	73%	73%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	1	6	19	26	73%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784							24	-
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783							21	-
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007							6	-
Gender								
Man							13	50%
Woman							13	50%
Other							0	0%

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** Question ignored by 1 trainee



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Célia
3	Fern
4	Man
5	Mari
6	Olga

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Adal
2	Albe
3	Ana
4	Ana
5	Ana
6	Anto
7	Carl
8	Carl
9	Carl
10	Clau
11	Crist
12	João
13	José
14	Mari
15	Mari
16	Mari
17	Mari
18	Paul
19	Paul
20	Paul
21	Paul
22	Ros
23	Ros
24	Rui
25	Sus
26	Vic

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

5. Azores District Judicial Court

20th and 21st June 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 10 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Azores and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 10 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Azores and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 90% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 90% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 80%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 70%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 88%.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 90%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 73%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 83%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 85% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 95% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the five available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally, the answer point to:

- Discuss other international co-operation instruments.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Increase the duration of training actions.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: AZORES (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	9	10	90%	80%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	8	10	80%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	7	10	70%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	9	10	90%	88%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	9	10	90%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	9	10	90%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	9	10	90%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	8	10	80%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	2	7	10	70%	73%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				3	7	10	70%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				2	8	10	80%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	9	10	90%	85%
This training will be of practical use in your work				2	8	10	80%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	9	10	90%	90%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	9	10	90%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						8	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						7	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111						3	-	
Small Claims - Regulation 861/2007						2	-	
Succession - Regulation 650/2012						2	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	30%	
Woman						7	70%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: AZORES (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	8	10	80%	70%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	9	10	90%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	5	4	10	40%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				2	8	10	80%	90%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	9	10	90%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					10	10	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			1		9	10	90%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	9	10	90%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	1	8	10	80%	83%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			2		8	10	80%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	9	10	90%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	9	10	90%	95%
This training will be of practical use in your work					10	10	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	9	10	90%	90%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	9	10	90%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						10	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						3	-	
Succession - Regulation 650/2012						1	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	30%	
Woman						7	70%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ade
2	Brur
3	Cláu
4	Mari
5	Mari
6	Ped
7	Ren
8	Són
9	Tâni
10	Van

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Guic
4	Ildet
5	Luci
6	Mari
7	Ped
8	Rod
9	Rui
10	Zita

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

6. Setúbal District Judicial Court

2nd July 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 19 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Setúbal and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 19 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Setúbal and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 84% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 66% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 77%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 53%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 82%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 76%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 68%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 54%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 76% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 45% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the five available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generally, the answer point to:

- Practical training to consolidate theoretical material.
- Analyzing legislation: cases or rulings.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 8 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:

- Increase the duration of training sessions.
- More practical examples.
- Discuss other co-operation instruments.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: SETÚBAL (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	3	15	19	79%	77%
The topics covered are useful for your work	1		1	2	15	19	79%	
The duration of the training was adequate				5	14	19	74%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents		1		4	14	19	74%	82%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear		1			18	19	95%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees		1		3	15	19	79%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			1	4	14	19	74%	
The trainers managed their training time well			1	1	17	19	89%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			2	6	11	19	58%	68%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1	5	13	19	68%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				4	15	19	79%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met		1	1	3	14	19	74%	76%
This training will be of practical use in your work	1			3	15	19	79%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			2	1	16	19	84%	84%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker		1	1	1	16	19	84%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						18	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						15	-	
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						9	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111						9	-	
Small Claims - Regulation 861/2007						9	-	
Gender								%
Man						7	37%	
Woman						12	63%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: SETÚBAL (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree		Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL		
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	8	10	19	53%	53%
The topics covered are useful for your work				4	15	19	79%	
The duration of the training was adequate			6	8	5	19	26%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1	8	10	19	53%	76%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				5	14	19	74%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				5	14	19	74%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				2	17	19	89%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	17	19	89%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1	1	11	6	19	32%	54%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			2	6	11	19	58%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			1	4	14	19	74%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			2	11	6	19	32%	45%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	7	11	19	58%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	5	13	19	68%	66%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			1	6	12	19	63%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						19	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						12	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						6	-	
Succession - Regulation 650/2012						7	-	
Gender								
Man						4	21%	
Woman						15	79%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Antón
2	Catari
3	Catari
4	Cátia
5	Domir
6	Elsa C
7	Elsa M
8	Franc
9	Graça
10	Isabel
11	Luís F
12	Maria
13	Maria
14	Nélso
15	Paula
16	Rita F
17	Rui Pe
18	Susar
19	Victor

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Adé
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Cris
5	Dori
6	Edu
7	Hele
8	Ilda
9	Isab
10	Joaç



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

11	Luís
12	Mari
13	Mari
14	Mari
15	Paul
16	Rui I
17	Sara
18	Solá
19	Sóni

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

7. Santarém District Judicial Court

3rd July 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 13 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Santarém and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 34 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Santarém and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 100% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 90% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 85%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 61%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 91%.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 76%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 82%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 43%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 92% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 63% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the five available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generally, the answer point to:

- More training activities.
- Deal with other instruments, such as Rome I and Rome II.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Increase the duration of training sessions.
- Discuss other co-operation instruments.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: SANTARÉM(Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	12	13	92%	85%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	11	13	85%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	10	13	77%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				2	11	13	85%	91%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	12	13	92%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	12	13	92%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	12	13	92%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	12	13	92%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				6	7	13	54%	82%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					13	13	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	12	13	92%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	12	13	92%	92%
This training will be of practical use in your work				1	12	13	92%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					13	13	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					13	13	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						12	-	
Tacking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						13	-	
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						0	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111						1	-	
Small Claims - Regulation 861/2007						3	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	23%	
Woman						10	77%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses | *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: SANTARÉM (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	8	25	34	74%	61%
The topics covered are useful for your work		1		8	25	34	74%	
The duration of the training was adequate	1	2	5	14	12	34	35%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents		1	2	10	21	34	62%	76%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				6	28	34	82%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				6	28	34	82%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				7	27	34	79%	
The trainers managed their training time well		1	1	6	26	34	76%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			5	18	11	34	32%	43%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			3	13	17	33	52%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	2	3	6	8	15	34	44%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met		1	2	12	19	34	56%	63%
This training will be of practical use in your work		1		9	24	34	71%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training		1		3	30	34	88%	90%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker		1		2	31	34	91%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						30	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						24	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						6	-	
Succession - Regulation 650/2012						4	-	
Gender								
Man						7	21%	
Woman						27	79%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Carl
4	Carl
5	Carl
6	Man
7	Mari
8	Mart
9	Nádi
10	Rica
11	Susã
12	Tom
13	Vanc

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Aida
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Andi
5	Andi
6	Caro
7	Célia
8	Cidã
9	Crist
10	Crist
11	Deb
12	Dília
13	Elza
14	Ferr
15	Ferr



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

16	Fer
17	Filo
18	Gra
19	Joa
20	Jos
21	Luc
22	Mai
23	Mai
24	Mai
25	Mai
26	Mói
27	Pat
28	Pa
29	Ra
30	Rita
31	Sar
32	Sar
33	Sór
34	Vår

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

8. Castelo Branco District Judicial Court

11st December 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 9 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Castelo Branco and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 15 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Castelo Branco and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 88% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 53% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 63%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 44%.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 85%.

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 60%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 67%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 51%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 81% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 53% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the six available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally, the answer point to:


- More time to explore more concrete cases.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was not answered by any of the trainees.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: CASTELO BRANCO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	6	8	75%	63%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	2	5	8	63%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	3	4	8	50%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents		1		1	6	8	75%	85%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear		1			7	8	88%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees		1			7	8	88%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	7	8	88%	
The trainers managed their training time well		1			7	8	88%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	2	5	8	63%	67%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	6	8	75%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	1			2	5	8	63%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met		1		1	6	8	75%	81%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1		7	8	88%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1		7	8	88%	88%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker		1			7	8	88%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						1	-	
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						2	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						1	-	
Rome II – Regulation 864/2007						1	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Gender								%
Man						2	22%	
Woman						7	78%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: CASTELO BRANCO (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	4	10	15	67%	44%
The topics covered are useful for your work			3	6	6	15	40%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	3	6	4	15	27%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			2	5	8	15	53%	60%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1	4	10	15	67%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees			1	5	9	15	60%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			1	4	10	15	67%	
The trainers managed their training time well			2	5	8	15	53%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1	4	4	6	15	40%	51%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			4	4	7	15	47%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			3	2	10	15	67%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met		1	4	4	6	15	40%	43%
This training will be of practical use in your work			4	4	7	15	47%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	7	7	15	47%	53%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			1	5	9	15	60%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						11	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						9	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						4	-	
Gender								
Man						6	40%	
Woman						9	60%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	António
4	Célia
5	Cristina
6	Eduardo
7	João
8	José
9	Maria
10	Maria
11	Maria
12	Maria
13	Maria
14	Sérgio
15	Tito

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

9. Guarda District Judicial Court

12nd December 2024

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 12 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Guarda and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 10 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Guarda and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 95% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 81%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 60%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 90%.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 94%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 83%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 67%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “Were the expectations prior to the training met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 83% of responses “I completely agree”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “Expectations prior to the training were met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 85% of responses “I completely agree”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the five available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was not answered by any of the trainees.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 6 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Increase the duration and publicity of the sessions.
- Extend the sessions to the criminal area.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: GUARDA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					12	12	100%	81%
The topics covered are useful for your work		1		2	9	12	75%	
The duration of the training was adequate				4	8	12	67%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				3	9	12	75%	90%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	11	12	92%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	11	12	92%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					12	12	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	11	12	92%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				4	8	12	67%	83%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	11	12	92%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	11	12	92%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	10	12	83%	83%
This training will be of practical use in your work		1		1	10	12	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					12	12	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					12	12	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						4	-	
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						4	-	
Maintenance Obligations – Regulation 4/2009						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						11	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						10	-	
Gender								
Man						5		42%
Woman						7		58%
Other						0		0%

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: GUARDA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	8	10	80%	60%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	8	10	80%	
The duration of the training was adequate		1	3	4	2	10	20%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				2	8	10	80%	94%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					10	10	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					10	10	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					10	10	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	9	10	90%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	5	4	10	40%	67%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				3	7	10	70%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	9	10	90%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				3	7	10	70%	85%
This training will be of practical use in your work					10	10	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					10	10	100%	95%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	9	10	90%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Maintenance Obligations – Regulation 4/2009						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						8	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						10	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						2	-	
Gender								
								%
Man						6		60%
Woman						4		40%
Other						0		0%

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

	Nome do/a Juíz/a
1	André Marques Rodrigues
2	Carlos Miguel dos Santos Marques
3	Francisca Moreira
4	João Carreira
5	João Francisco Gonçalves Barroso Teixeira
6	Marcos Gonçalves
7	Maria Elisabete Ferreira da Silva Pinto
8	Mariana Mateus Nunes Fidalgo Simões
9	Olga Maria Domingues Pires Ribeiro Maciel
10	Marisa Barbeira
11	Paula Silva
12	Sónia Filipa Marques

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

	Nome do/a Oficial de Justiça
1	Ana Sofia Santos Cosme
2	Ângelo Rafael Barata Moura
3	Carla Sofia Simões Teles
4	Carlos Alberto Saraiva do Coito
5	Francisco José Soares Ferreira
6	Henrique José Salvador Alves
7	João Abel dos Santos Pintassilgo
8	Joaquim Francisco da Costa Monteiro
9	Leonor dos Anjos Afonso Maltês Gouveia
10	Maria Teresa da Silva Gomes Carvalho

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

10. Lisboa Norte District Judicial Court

31st January 2025

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 22 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children's, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in the Judicial Court of the Northern Lisbon District and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 19 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in the Judicial Court of the Northern Lisbon District and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 75% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 66% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 73%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 51%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 74%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 63%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 68%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 28%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “Were the expectations prior to the training met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 70% of responses “I completely agree”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “Expectations prior to the training were met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 42% of responses “I completely agree”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 3 trainees.

The answers point to:

- Simulation of practical cases.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 1 trainee.

The answer points to:


- Holding more sessions of this nature.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: LISBOA NORTE (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			2	4	16	22	73%	73%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	6	15	22	68%	
The duration of the training was adequate	1		1	3	17	22	77%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			6	3	13	22	59%	74%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				5	17	22	77%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees		1	1	5	15	22	68%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			2	3	17	22	77%	
The trainers managed their training time well			1	2	19	22	86%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			5	5	12	22	55%	68%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			4	3	15	22	68%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			1	3	18	22	82%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			2	4	16	22	73%	70%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	6	15	22	68%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			3	3	16	22	73%	75%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			2	3	17	22	77%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						9	-	
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						19	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						13	-	
Gender								%
Man						5	23%	
Woman						17	77%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses | *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: LISBOA NORTE (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	7	11	19	58%	51%
The topics covered are useful for your work				5	14	19	74%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	2	11	4	19	21%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			3	5	11	19	58%	63%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1	5	13	19	68%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees			1	5	13	19	68%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			2	2	15	19	79%	
The trainers managed their training time well				11	8	19	42%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			7	7	5	19	26%	28%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			4	8	7	19	37%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	3	1	8	3	4	19	21%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			1	13	5	19	26%	42%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	7	11	19	58%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	6	12	19	63%	66%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			2	4	13	19	68%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						17	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						12	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						10	-	
Gender								%
Man						6	32%	
Woman						12	63%	
Other						1	5%	

* = Number of responses | *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Alex
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Andr
5	Andr
6	Carl
7	Carl
8	Carl
9	Cláu
10	Dani
11	Fran
12	Grac
13	João
14	Mari
15	Mari
16	Mart
17	Pedr
18	Raqu
19	Sara
20	Silvi
21	Tere
22	Virgí

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana
2	Alex
3	Ana
4	Antó
5	Auré
6	Céli
7	Dora



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

8	Dulce
9	Elisal
10	Florb
11	Héld
12	João
13	José
14	Luís
15	Marie
16	Marie
17	Paula
18	Raqu
19	Teres

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

11. Leiria Norte District Judicial Court

6th March 2025

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 22 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children's, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in the Judicial Court of the Leiria District and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 21 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in the Judicial Court of the Leiria District and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *"Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training"* and *"Would I recommend this training to a co-worker"* were answered by 98% of trainees with *"Totally Agree"*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *"Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training"* and *"Would I recommend this training to a co-worker"* were answered by 90% of the trainees with *"I Totally Agree"*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 77%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 63%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 94%.

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 83%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 74%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 65%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “Were the expectations prior to the training met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 84% of responses “I completely agree”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “Expectations prior to the training were met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 69% of responses “I completely agree”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 1215/2012, relating to jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

The answer point to:

- Increasing the length of the session and simulating more practical cases.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 4 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Increasing the length of the session and simulating more practical cases.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: LEIRIA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	20	22	91%	77%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	4	17	22	77%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	7	14	22	64%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				2	20	22	91%	94%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	21	22	95%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				2	20	22	91%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	21	22	95%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	21	22	95%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	4	17	22	77%	74%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				3	19	22	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			2	7	13	22	59%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				4	18	22	82%	84%
This training will be of practical use in your work				3	19	22	86%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	21	22	95%	98%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					22	22	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						13	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						19	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						11	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						5	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	14%	
Woman						19	86%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses | *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: LEIRIA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				3	18	21	86%	63%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	2	18	21	86%	
The duration of the training was adequate	1		10	6	4	21	19%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	1		1	4	15	21	71%	83%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				3	18	21	86%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				3	18	21	86%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					21	21	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				6	15	21	71%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			3	8	10	21	48%	65%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1	6	14	21	67%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			2	2	17	21	81%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			2	8	11	21	52%	69%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	2	18	21	86%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	19	21	90%	90%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	19	21	90%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						21	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						16	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						7	-	
Gender								
Man						11	52%	
Woman						10	48%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Adria
2	Ana C
3	Ana I
4	Ana S
5	Ana T
6	Anas
7	Carla
8	Dani
9	Filipa
10	Heler
11	Isabe
12	José
13	Lara
14	Lino
15	Marc
16	Marg
17	María
18	María
19	María
20	María
21	Sónia
22	Tere



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana
2	Arm
3	Carl
4	Carl
5	Clari
6	Clari
7	Héld
8	João
9	Jorg
10	Jorg
11	José
12	Luís
13	Mari
14	Mari
15	Mari
16	Mári
17	Mica
18	Paul
19	Sara
20	Vitor
21	Wils

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

12. Coimbra District Judicial Court

7th March 2025

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 24 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in the Judicial Court of the Coimbra District and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 14 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in the Judicial Court of the Coimbra District and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 88% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 93% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 76%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 62%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 74%.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 93%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 60%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 76%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “*Were the expectations prior to the training met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 75% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “*Expectations prior to the training were met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 93% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 4 trainees.

The answers point to:

- Increasing the length of the session, analyzing practical situations and solving practical cases in small groups.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 4 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Increasing the duration and frequency of sessions.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: COIMBRA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				3	21	24	88%	76%
The topics covered are useful for your work			2	2	20	24	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate			3	7	14	24	58%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				7	17	24	71%	74%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				3	21	24	88%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				5	19	24	79%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	1			3	20	24	83%	
The trainers managed their training time well			2	10	12	24	50%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			2	10	12	24	50%	60%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				5	19	24	79%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	1		2	9	12	24	50%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	1			7	16	24	67%	75%
This training will be of practical use in your work		1		3	20	24	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	2	21	24	88%	88%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	1			2	21	24	88%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						12	-	
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						9	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						24	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						18	-	
Gender								%
Man						7	29%	
Woman						17	71%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: COIMBRA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	13	14	93%	62%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	13	14	93%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	8	4		14	0%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1	2	11	14	79%	93%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1		13	14	93%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					14	14	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	13	14	93%	
The trainers managed their training time well					14	14	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				8	6	14	43%	76%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	12	14	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					14	14	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	12	14	86%	93%
This training will be of practical use in your work					14	14	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	13	14	93%	93%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	13	14	93%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						13	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						11	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						6	-	
Gender								
								%
Man						3		21%
Woman						11		79%
Other						0		0%

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ága
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Aug
5	Car
6	Carl
7	Filip
8	Ger
9	Isat
10	Joã
11	Josi
12	Luis
13	Mar
14	Mar
15	Mar
16	Mar
17	Mar
18	Mar
19	Pau
20	Raf
21	Rui
22	San
23	Sofi
24	Sus



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Anai
5	Cristi
6	Jorg
7	Luís
8	Luís
9	Mart
10	Nata
11	Paul
12	Rica
13	Sanc
14	Tere

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

13. Lisboa Oeste Judicial District Court

28th March 2025

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 21 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in Lisboa Oeste Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 23 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in Lisboa Oeste Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 95% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 89% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 95%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 65%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 95%.





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 90%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 84%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 80%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions “*Were the expectations prior to the training met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 93% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “*Expectations prior to the training were met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 64% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the five available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

The answers point to:

- Distribution of supporting legislation containing all European regulations.
- Printed document containing useful and frequently used links.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 7 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Increase the duration and frequency of sessions.
- Initial training for Bailiffs starting their functions.
- Scheduling a new session from 01/05/2025 in order to test the new platform for transmitting/receiving requests for cooperation.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: LISBOA OESTE (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					21	21	100%	95%
The topics covered are useful for your work					21	21	100%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	18	21	86%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	20	21	95%	95%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	20	21	95%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	20	21	95%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	20	21	95%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	20	21	95%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				6	15	21	71%	84%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				4	17	21	81%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					21	21	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	19	21	90%	93%
This training will be of practical use in your work				1	20	21	95%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	20	21	95%	95%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	20	21	95%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						9	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						5	-	
Rome II – Regulation 864/2007						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						19	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						18	-	
Gender								%
Man						7	33%	
Woman						14	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: LISBOA OESTE (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	1	21	23	91%	65%
The topics covered are useful for your work			3	4	16	23	70%	
The duration of the training was adequate		4	6	5	8	23	35%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				4	19	23	83%	90%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	22	23	96%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	22	23	96%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				2	21	23	91%	
The trainers managed their training time well				4	19	23	83%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	7	15	23	65%	80%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				3	20	23	87%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				3	20	23	87%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			3	7	14	24	58%	64%
This training will be of practical use in your work			3	4	16	23	70%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				3	20	23	87%	89%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	21	23	91%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Maintenance – Regulation 4/2009						8	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						16	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						19	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						11	-	
Gender								%
Man						9	39%	
Woman						14	61%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Cata
3	Diar
4	Filip
5	Gon
6	Gra
7	Guil
8	Hele
9	Heli
10	João
11	Mar
12	Nun
13	Salv
14	San
15	San
16	Sara
17	Sofi
18	Sofi
19	Tân
20	Tati
21	Verã



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Bela
5	Carl
6	Cláu
7	Crist
8	Crist
9	Crist
10	Fern
11	Fern
12	Fred
13	Joãc
14	Mag
15	Mari
16	Mari
17	Mari
18	Nun
19	Pedi
20	Rica
21	Sus
22	Tere
23	Tiag

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

14. Lisboa Judicial District Court

11st April 2025

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 22 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in Lisboa Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 28 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in Lisboa Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 91% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 93% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 92%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 76%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 90%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 90%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 85%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 85%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “Were the expectations prior to the training met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 93% of responses “I completely agree”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “Expectations prior to the training were met” and “This training will be practical in your work” received 82% of responses “I completely agree”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

The answer point to:

- Holding more sessions of this kind.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 2 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Holding more sessions of this kind.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: LISBOA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree		Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL		
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	21	22	95%	92%
The topics covered are useful for your work				3	19	22	86%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	21	22	95%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				5	17	22	77%	90%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				3	19	22	86%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				3	19	22	86%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					22	22	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					22	22	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			3	4	15	22	68%	85%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1	2	19	22	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					22	22	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	21	22	95%	93%
This training will be of practical use in your work				2	20	22	91%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	20	22	91%	91%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	20	22	91%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						12	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						22	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						17	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						4	-	
Gender								%
Man						6	27%	
Woman						16	73%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: LISBOA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degreee *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	3	24	28	86%	76%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	2	25	28	89%	
The duration of the training was adequate	2	2	3	6	15	28	54%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1	5	22	28	79%	86%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1	2	25	28	89%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees			1	3	24	28	86%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			1	2	25	28	89%	
The trainers managed their training time well			1	2	25	28	89%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1	2	3	22	28	79%	85%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	1		4	1	22	28	79%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	27	28	96%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			2	3	23	28	82%	82%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	4	23	28	82%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	26	28	93%	93%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			1	1	26	28	93%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						25	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						17	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						9	-	
Gender								%
Man						6	21%	
Woman						22	79%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Carl
4	Carl
5	Carl
6	Cristi
7	Dian
8	Fern
9	Hele
10	Isab
11	João
12	Març
13	Mari
14	Mari
15	Mari
16	Mari
17	Nun
18	Patr
19	Pedri
20	Raq
21	Tom
22	Valé



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Amé
2	Ana
3	Ana
4	Ana
5	Ana
6	Âng
7	Carl
8	Dan
9	Elis
10	Fáb
11	Filor
12	Gon
13	Hild
14	Idali
15	Inês
16	José
17	Lídi
18	Mar
19	Mar
20	Mar
21	Mar
22	Mar
23	Patr
24	Pau
25	Sofi
26	Són
27	Vân
28	Vict

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

15. Madeira Judicial District Court

10th and 11st July 2025

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 11 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in Madeira Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 19 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in Madeira Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 97%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 77%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 98%.





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 95%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 97%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 89%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “*Were the expectations prior to the training met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 100% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “*Expectations prior to the training were met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 92% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects most useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

None of the trainees answered the question.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 2 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Extending the duration of sessions.
- Making presentations available in advance, before the start of each session.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: MADEIRA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					11	11	100%	97%
The topics covered are useful for your work					11	11	100%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	10	11	91%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					11	11	100%	98%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					11	11	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					11	11	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					11	11	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	10	11	91%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				1	10	11	91%	97%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					11	11	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					11	11	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					11	11	100%	100%
This training will be of practical use in your work					11	11	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					11	11	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					11	11	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						11	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						7	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	27%	
Woman						8	73%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses | *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: MADEIRA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				3	16	19	84%	77%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	18	19	95%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	3	4	10	19	53%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	18	19	95%	95%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	18	19	95%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	19	20	95%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					19	19	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	17	19	89%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				5	14	19	74%	89%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					19	19	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	18	19	95%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	17	19	89%	92%
This training will be of practical use in your work				1	18	19	95%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					19	19	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					19	19	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						19	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						11	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						7	-	
Gender								%
Man						11	58%	
Woman						8	42%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Alex
2	Ana
3	And
4	Brur
5	Carl
6	Dive
7	Elisa
8	Mari
9	Rui l
10	Sara
11	Virg

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Albe
2	Aveli
3	Carla
4	Cata
5	Dani
6	João
7	João
8	José
9	José
10	Lurd
11	Mari
12	Migu
13	Nádi
14	Nels
15	Pedr
16	Pedr
17	Fátir
18	Rica
19	Susa

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

16. Portalegre Judicial District Court

2nd October 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 6 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Portalegre, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the President Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 6 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of Portalegre, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 100% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 80% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 75%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 67%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 100%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 92%.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 75%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 73%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 63% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 90% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: PORTALEGRE (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					4	4	100%	75%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	2	4	50%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	3	4	75%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					4	4	100%	100%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					4	4	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					4	4	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					4	4	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					4	4	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate					4	4	100%	75%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	2	4	50%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	3	4	75%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					4	4	100%	63%
This training will be of practical use in your work				3	1	4	25%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					4	4	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					4	4	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						5	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						3	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						2	-	
Gender								%
Man						2	33%	
Woman						4	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: PORTALEGRE (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	3	5	60%	67%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	4	5	80%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	1	3	5	60%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	4	5	80%	92%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					5	5	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	4	5	80%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					5	5	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					5	5	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				3	2	5	40%	73%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	4	5	80%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					5	5	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	4	5	80%	90%
This training will be of practical use in your work					5	5	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	4	5	80%	80%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	4	5	80%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						5	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						3	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						2	-	
Gender								%
Man						2	33%	
Woman						4	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Crist
3	Dulc
4	Fran
5	Rosi
6	Suse

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Eun
2	Pau
3	Mari
4	Rui
5	Silvi
6	Zuln

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



reuniao Ocultar barra de menus

ajudar Ajuda e video Participante Reunião Sessões de breakout Ajuda

Comarca Evora 02 Organizador: eu

Comarca Evora 02 Organizador

Comarca Portalegre 02

Evora 02 - 39% +

REGULAMENTO 861/2007
AÇÕES DE PEQUENO MONTANTE

Reconvenção

- O requerido pode apresentar pedido reconvenicional usando o **formulário A**;
- Em 14 dias a contar da receção da reconvenção, a **secretaria notifica** o requerente da mesma;
- O requerente **tem 30 dias** para responder à reconvenção.

Se o valor da reconvenção **exceder € 5.000** a ação e o pedido reconvenicional **não poderão prosseguir** nos termos deste procedimento.

Serão tratados nos termos do direito processual aplicável no EM onde foi...



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

17. Évora Judicial District Court

2nd October 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 13 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Évora, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 9 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Évora, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 100% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 72% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 90%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 78%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 100%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 91%.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 87%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 89%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 92% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 100% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was not answered by any trainee.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generically, the answer points to:


- Appreciation for the holding of the session.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: ÉVORA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					13	13	100%	90%
The topics covered are useful for your work				3	10	13	77%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	12	13	92%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					13	13	100%	100%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					13	13	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					13	13	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					13	13	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					13	13	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				2	11	13	85%	87%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	11	13	85%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	12	13	92%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	12	13	92%	92%
This training will be of practical use in your work				1	12	13	92%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					13	13	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					13	13	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						13	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						9	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						1	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	23%	
Woman						10	77%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: ÉVORA (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					9	9	100%	78%
The topics covered are useful for your work	1			2	6	9	67%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	6	9	67%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	8	9	89%	91%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					9	9	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	8	9	89%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					9	9	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	7	9	78%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				1	8	9	89%	89%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	8	9	89%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	8	9	89%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					9	9	100%	100%
This training will be of practical use in your work					9	9	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	7	9	78%	72%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				3	6	9	67%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						8	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						6	-	
Gender								%
Man						2	22%	
Woman						7	78%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ale
4	Anã
2	Anã
3	Anã
5	Anã
6	Anc
7	Elis
8	Joã
9	Luí
10	Nác
11	Pec
12	Sar
13	Sílv

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Antó
2	Cátia
3	Conc
4	Ilda I
5	Mari
6	Mari
7	Paul
8	Umb
9	Vicê

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

18. Bragança Judicial District Court

27/ 28 November 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 7 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Bragança, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 11 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of Bragança, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 71% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 55% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 71%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 56%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 71%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 48%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 64%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 59%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions "The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled" and "Will this training be of practical use in your work" obtained 71% of answers "I totally agree".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled" and "Will this training be of practical use in your work" obtained 73% of answers "I totally agree".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, with multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generically, the answer points to:

- More training actions of this nature.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generically, the answer points to:


- Increased session duration.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: BRAGANÇA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	6	7	86%	71%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	5	7	71%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	4	7	57%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				3	4	7	57%	71%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				2	5	7	71%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				2	5	7	71%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	6	7	86%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	5	7	71%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				1	6	7	86%	71%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	6	7	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				4	3	7	43%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	5	7	71%	64%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	2	4	7	57%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	5	7	71%	71%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	5	7	71%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						2	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						1	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						6	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						4	-	
Gender								
Man						0	0%	
Woman						7	100%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: BRAGANÇA (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			2		9	11	82%	55%
The topics covered are useful for your work				5	6	11	55%	
The duration of the training was adequate			3	5	3	11	27%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1	4	6	11	55%	56%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				5	6	11	55%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				5	6	11	55%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				5	6	11	55%	
The trainers managed their training time well			1	3	7	11	64%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			3	2	6	11	55%	48%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1	5	5	11	45%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			1	5	5	11	45%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			3	1	7	11	64%	59%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	4	6	11	55%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	4	6	11	55%	73%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	10	11	91%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						11	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						4	-	
Gender								%
Man						4	36%	
Woman						7	64%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges



1	Cler
2	Joar
3	Vân
4	Mari
5	She
6	Teln
7	Vera

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Cele
2	Dani
3	Dual
4	Fern
5	Hélc
6	Joan
7	Jorge
8	Laur
9	Luís
10	Mari
11	Sílvii

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)


Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

19. Vila Real Judicial District Court

27/ 28 November 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 3 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Vila Real, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 6 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of Vila Real, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 67% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 78% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 100%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 83%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 100%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 61%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 100%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 67%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 100% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 67% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges


Among the four available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service was considered by the trainees as the most useful subject for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: VILA REAL (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	2	3	67%	67%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	2	3	67%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	2	3	67%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					3	3	100%	100%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					3	3	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					3	3	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					3	3	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					3	3	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate					3	3	100%	100%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					3	3	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					3	3	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					3	3	100%	100%
This training will be of practical use in your work					3	3	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					3	3	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					3	3	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						1	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						1	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						3	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						1	-	
Gender								%
Man						1	33%	
Woman						2	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: VILA REAL (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					6	6	100%	78%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	5	6	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	3	6	50%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				3	3	6	50%	83%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	5	6	83%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					6	6	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					6	6	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	5	6	83%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				2	4	6	67%	61%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	4	6	67%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			1	2	3	6	50%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	4	6	67%	67%
This training will be of practical use in your work				2	4	6	67%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	4	6	67%	67%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	4	6	67%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						4	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						1	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						0	-	
Gender								
Man						3	50%	
Woman						3	50%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges



1	Edua
2	Marg
3	Maria

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Antón
2	José
3	Maria
4	Maria
5	Sérgi
6	Teres

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)


Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

20. Viana do Castelo Judicial District Court

27th November 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 13 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Vila Real, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the President Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 10 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of Vila Real, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 69% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 83% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 85%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 100%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 69%.

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 93%.

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 73%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 95%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 85% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 100% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the four options available, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the Taking of Evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the Taking of Evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was not answered by any trainee.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: VIANA DO CASTELO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	11	13	85%	69%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	11	13	85%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	7	5	13	38%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1	1	11	13	85%	85%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					13	13	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				2	11	13	85%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				2	11	13	85%	
The trainers managed their training time well				4	9	13	69%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				5	8	13	62%	69%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				4	9	13	69%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			1	2	10	13	77%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				3	10	13	77%	73%
This training will be of practical use in your work				4	9	13	69%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				2	11	13	85%	85%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				2	11	13	85%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						3	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						4	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						12	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						5	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	23%	
Woman						10	77%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: VIANA DO CASTELO (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	9	10	90%	83%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1		9	10	90%	
The duration of the training was adequate				3	7	10	70%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					10	10	100%	100%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					10	10	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					10	10	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					10	10	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					10	10	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1		9	10	90%	93%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1		9	10	90%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					10	10	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					10	10	100%	95%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1		9	10	90%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					10	10	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					10	10	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						9	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						10	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						8	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	30%	
Woman						7	70%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Ana
3	And
4	Can
5	Clar
6	Inês
7	Isab
8	Patr
9	Raq
10	Rica
11	Rui
12	San
13	Van

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Ana
2	Cata
3	Dian
4	Joac
5	José
6	Lídiã
7	Luís
8	Mari
9	Sara
10	Sílvi

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





IMPROVE JUSTICE
COURT-TO-COURT

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

21. Braga Judicial District Court

28th November 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 12 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Braga, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 27 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working at the Judicial Court of Braga, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 81% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "Overall have a positive opinion of the training" and "Would you recommend this training to a co-worker" were answered by 65% of the trainees with "Strongly Agree".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 90%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 83%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 89%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 69%.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 88%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 69%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 92% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 70% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, with multiple answers allowed, the Brussels Ia Regulation on the recognition of decisions and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, on the taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 3 trainees.

Generically, the answer points to:

- More training actions of this nature;
- Increased session duration.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generically, the answer points to:


- More training actions of this nature;
- Increased duration of sessions;
- More practical cases.

Gender – Judges

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: BRAGA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	10	12	83%	81%
The topics covered are useful for your work				3	9	12	75%	
The duration of the training was adequate				2	10	12	83%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1		11	12	92%	90%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1		11	12	92%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees			1		11	12	92%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			1		11	12	92%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	10	12	83%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1		11	12	92%	89%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate		1			11	12	92%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			2		10	12	83%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	11	12	92%	88%
This training will be of practical use in your work		1		1	10	12	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1		11	12	92%	92%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			1		11	12	92%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						6	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						5	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Gender								
Man						1	8%	
Woman						11	92%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: BRAGA (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				9	18	27	67%	65%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	4	22	27	81%	
The duration of the training was adequate	1	5	5	3	13	27	48%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				7	20	27	74%	83%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				3	24	27	89%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				5	22	27	81%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				3	24	27	89%	
The trainers managed their training time well				5	22	27	81%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1	3	5	18	27	67%	69%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate		1		5	21	27	78%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to		2	4	4	17	27	63%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			5	6	16	27	59%	69%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1	5	21	27	78%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				8	19	27	70%	70%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				8	19	27	70%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						24	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						20	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						8	-	
Gender								
Man						8	30%	
Woman						19	70%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ant�
2	Carl
3	Carl
4	Carl
5	Cate
6	Cris
7	Dan
8	Filip
9	Mag
10	Mar
11	Rita
12	Sara



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Aline
2	Alzira
3	Ana
4	Andr
5	Carlo
6	Crist
7	Dani
8	Deol
9	Isabl
10	João
11	Jorg
12	José
13	Man
14	Man
15	Mari
16	Mari
17	Mari
18	Mari
19	Mari
20	Mari
21	Mau
22	Paul
23	Pedr
24	Rosa
25	Sanc
26	Sara
27	Sóni

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)


Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

22. Faro Judicial District Court

18th December 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 24 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Faro who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the President Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 9 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Faro who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 100% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 100% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 90%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 93%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 93%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 100%.



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 97%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 96%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 96% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 94% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, with multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service and Regulation (EU) 1215/2012 on the recognition of decisions were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, admitting multiple responses, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, on Summons and Notifications; Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence and Regulation (EC) 861/2007 on small claims were considered by trainees to be the most useful subjects for their professional activity.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 6 trainees.

Generically, the answers point to:

- To increase the duration of the sessions, as well as to promote their holding more regularly.
- Create a manual of good practices.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was answered by 4 trainees.

Generically, the answers point to:


- Positive feedback from the training, underlining the quality of the approach taken by the trainers in dealing with the subjects.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: FARO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					24	24	100%	90%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	23	24	96%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	5	18	24	75%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	23	24	96%	93%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					24	24	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	23	24	96%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	23	24	96%	
The trainers managed their training time well			1	4	19	24	79%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	1	22	24	92%	97%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					24	24	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					24	24	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	23	24	96%	96%
This training will be of practical use in your work				1	23	24	96%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					24	24	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					24	24	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						14	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						12	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						23	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						13	-	
Gender								
								%
Man						8	33%	
Woman						16	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: FARO (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					9	9	100%	93%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1		8	9	89%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1		8	9	89%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					9	9	100%	100%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					9	9	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					9	9	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					9	9	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					9	9	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate					9	9	100%	96%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	8	9	89%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					9	9	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	8	9	89%	94%
This training will be of practical use in your work					9	9	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					9	9	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					9	9	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						9	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						5	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						5	-	
Gender								%
Man						2	22%	
Woman						7	78%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Adel
2	Alex
3	Ana
4	Ana
5	Ana
6	Ana
7	Brur
8	Cáti
9	Hele
10	Hele
11	Inês
12	Man
13	Man
14	Mari
15	Mari
16	Morç
17	Paul
18	Pedi
19	Rui
20	Serv
21	Silvi
22	Susi
23	Susi
24	Telh



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Ana
2	Ânge
3	Carla
4	Estel
5	Fern
6	João
7	Leon
8	Sanc
9	Vane

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

23. Beja Judicial District Court

19th December 2025

Characterization of the Trainee Group

The first group of trainees was made up of 10 Judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working in the Judicial Court of the District of Beja, who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Chief Judge of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 7 Bailiffs from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labour areas, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Beja who enrolled in the training action either through the online form made available for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Overall Assessment - Judges

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 90% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

Overall Assessment – Bailiffs

The questions: "*Overall have a positive opinion of the training*" and "*Would you recommend this training to a co-worker*" were answered by 100% of the trainees with "*Strongly Agree*".

Results by Question

Syllabus - Judges

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 93%.

Program Content – Bailiffs

The questions related to the syllabus present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 67%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high level of satisfaction, with an average rate of 94%.

Trainers – Bailiffs

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 86%.





Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Organisation of training – Judges

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 87%.

Organization of Training – Bailiffs

Questions related to support documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule have an average rate of 86%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be useful in your work*" obtained 95% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Results and expectations – Bailiffs

The questions "*The expectations prior to the training have been fulfilled*" and "*Will this training be of practical use in your work*" obtained 71% of answers "*I totally agree*".

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Judges


Among the four available options, with multiple answers being allowed, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful subjects for professional activity – Bailiffs

Among the three available options, with multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784 on Service and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783 on the taking of evidence were considered by the trainees as the most useful subjects for their professional activity.



Comments and/or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generically, the answers point to:

- Reinforce the duration of the sessions.

Comments and/or suggestions – Bailiffs


This question was answered by 3 trainees.

Generically, the answers point to:


- Positive feedback from training;
- Reinforce the duration of the sessions.

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Bailiffs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical statement – Judges' training

TOPICS: BEJA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	9	10	90%	93%
The topics covered are useful for your work					10	10	100%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	9	10	90%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	9	10	90%	94%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					10	10	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	9	10	90%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	9	10	90%	
The trainers managed their training time well					10	10	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				3	7	10	70%	87%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				1	9	10	90%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					10	10	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				1	9	10	90%	95%
This training will be of practical use in your work					10	10	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	9	10	90%	90%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	9	10	90%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I-bis – Regulation 1215/2012						3	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						3	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Gender								%
Man						4	40%	
Woman						6	60%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies

Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical Statement – Training of Bailiffs

TOPICS: BEJA (Bailiffs)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	6	7	86%	67%
The topics covered are useful for your work				2	5	7	71%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2		2	3	7	43%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				2	5	7	71%	86%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1		6	7	86%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				2	5	7	71%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					7	7	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well					7	7	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1			6	7	86%	86%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			1	1	5	7	71%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					7	7	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met			1	1	5	7	71%	71%
This training will be of practical use in your work				2	5	7	71%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					7	7	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					7	7	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						5	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						1	-	
Gender								
Man						3	43%	
Woman						4	57%	
Other						0	0%	

* = No. of replies *Strongly agree*/No. of Total replies



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges


1	Ana
2	Ana
3	Dani
4	Hugo
5	Hugo
6	Lara
7	Márc
8	Mari
9	Rena
10	Rúbe

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Bailiffs

1	Euláti
2	João
3	José
4	Lídia
5	Maria
6	Rita d
7	Rui C

Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Conclusion

The implementation of the *IJustC2C* project across Portugal's 23 Judicial Districts Courts stands as a tangible example of the judiciary's proactive engagement in European judicial cooperation. By equipping judges and judicial officers with the necessary tools to apply EU instruments effectively, the project not only addressed immediate training needs but also established a long-term foundation for cross-border collaboration and consistency in judicial practice.

The high levels of satisfaction expressed by participants confirm the relevance and quality of the initiative, while the uniformity of positive feedback across districts highlights the coherence and impact of the national approach. Ultimately, the *IJustC2C* project has strengthened the integration of European procedural standards within the Portuguese judicial system and reaffirmed Portugal's commitment to a modern, interconnected, and knowledge-based administration of justice.






Improve *Justice Court to Court* Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Annex I – Global results




Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)




Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Improve Justice Court to Court Project (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)



Total:

- Judges: 329
- Bailiffs: 425

Total participants: 754