

Evaluation report



Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil
and commercial matters*

Destinatários: Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da
área civil e comercial

Target audience: Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks
working in the civil and commercial areas



Coimbra District Judicial Court

7th March 2025



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Index

Introduction 3

Characterization of the Group of Trainees..... 3

Global Results..... 3

 Global Assessment - Judges 3

 Global Assessment – Judicial Officers 3

Results by Question..... 3

 Program Content - Judges 3

 Program Content – Judicial Officers..... 3

 Trainers – Judges..... 3

 Trainers – Judicial Officers 4

 Organization of Training – Judges 4

 Organization of Training – Judicial Officers..... 4

 Results and expectations – Judges..... 4

 Results and expectations – Judicial Officers 4

 Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges..... 4

 Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers 5

 Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges..... 5

 Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers 5

 Gender – Judges 5

 Gender – Judicial Officers..... 6

 Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training 7

 Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training 8

 Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges..... 9

 Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers..... 10

 Annex V – Photographs..... 11





Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the training process evaluation questionnaires administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was carried out.

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 24 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in the Judicial Court of the Coimbra District and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 14 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in the Judicial Court of the Coimbra District and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 88% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 93% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 76%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 62%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 74%.



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 93%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 60%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 76%.

Results and expectations – Judges


The questions “*Were the expectations prior to the training met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 75% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “*Expectations prior to the training were met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 93% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the four available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 4 trainees.

The answers point to:

- Increasing the length of the session, analyzing practical situations and solving practical cases in small groups.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 4 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Increasing the duration and frequency of sessions.

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: COIMBRA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				3	21	24	88%	76%
The topics covered are useful for your work			2	2	20	24	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate			3	7	14	24	58%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				7	17	24	71%	74%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				3	21	24	88%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				5	19	24	79%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	1			3	20	24	83%	
The trainers managed their training time well			2	10	12	24	50%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			2	10	12	24	50%	60%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				5	19	24	79%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	1		2	9	12	24	50%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	1			7	16	24	67%	75%
This training will be of practical use in your work		1		3	20	24	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	2	21	24	88%	88%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	1			2	21	24	88%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						12	-	
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						9	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						24	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						18	-	
Gender								
Man						7	29%	
Woman						17	71%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: COIMBRA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				1	13	14	93%	62%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	13	14	93%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	8	4		14	0%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			1	2	11	14	79%	93%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1		13	14	93%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					14	14	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	13	14	93%	
The trainers managed their training time well					14	14	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				8	6	14	43%	76%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	12	14	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					14	14	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	12	14	86%	93%
This training will be of practical use in your work					14	14	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training				1	13	14	93%	93%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker				1	13	14	93%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						13	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						11	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						6	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	21%	
Woman						11	79%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ágata Mar
2	Ana Luísa
3	Anabela S
4	Augusto M
5	Carina Fili
6	Carlos Ma
7	Filipa Reis
8	Geraldo R
9	Isabel Mai
10	João Nun
11	José Carl
12	Luís Duar
13	Maria Alex
14	Maria Bea
15	Maria do C
16	Maria Frai
17	Maria Gor
18	Marina Isc
19	Paula Alex
20	Rafaela D
21	Rui Manu
22	Sandra Al
23	Sofia Lope
24	Susana D



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Ana Adeç
2	Ana Paulk
3	Ana Sofia
4	Anaísa C
5	Cristina M
6	Jorge Miç
7	Luís Man
8	Luísa Ma
9	Marta Ma
10	Natalina c
11	Paula Cri
12	Ricardo E
13	Sandra C
14	Teresa C

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs





IMPROVE JUSTICE
COURT-TO-COURT

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

