

Evaluation report



Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil
and commercial matters*

Destinatários: Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da
área civil e comercial

Target audience: Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks
working in the civil and commercial areas



Madeira Judicial District Court

10th and 11th July 2025



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Index

Introduction 3

Characterization of the Group of Trainees..... 3

Global Results..... 3

 Global Assessment - Judges 3

 Global Assessment – Judicial Officers 3

Results by Question..... 3

 Program Content - Judges 3

 Program Content – Judicial Officers..... 3

 Trainers – Judges 3

 Trainers – Judicial Officers 4

 Organization of Training – Judges 4

 Organization of Training – Judicial Officers..... 4

 Results and expectations – Judges..... 4

 Results and expectations – Judicial Officers 4

 Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges..... 4

 Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers 5

 Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges..... 5

 Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers 5

 Gender – Judges 5

 Gender – Judicial Officers..... 6

 Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training 7

 Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training 8

 Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges..... 9

 Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers..... 9

 Annex V – Photographs 10





Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the training process evaluation questionnaires administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was carried out.

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 11 judges from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, who work in Madeira Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District's Presiding Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 19 Judicial Officers from the Civil, Commercial, Family and Children, Enforcement and Labor areas, working in Madeira Judicial District Court and who registered for the training course either through the online form made available for this purpose or through the District's Judicial Administrator.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of the trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 97%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 77%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 98%.





Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 95%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 97%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 89%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “*Were the expectations prior to the training met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 100% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “*Expectations prior to the training were met*” and “*This training will be practical in your work*” received 92% of responses “*I completely agree*”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges


Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects most useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

None of the trainees answered the question.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 2 trainees.

The answers point to:


- Extending the duration of sessions.
- Making presentations available in advance, before the start of each session.

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: MADEIRA (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives					11	11	100%	97%
The topics covered are useful for your work					11	11	100%	
The duration of the training was adequate				1	10	11	91%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents					11	11	100%	98%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear					11	11	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees					11	11	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					11	11	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				1	10	11	91%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				1	10	11	91%	97%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					11	11	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to					11	11	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met					11	11	100%	100%
This training will be of practical use in your work					11	11	100%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					11	11	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					11	11	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						5	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						11	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						7	-	
Gender								%
Man						3	27%	
Woman						8	73%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: MADEIRA (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				3	16	19	84%	77%
The topics covered are useful for your work				1	18	19	95%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	3	4	10	19	53%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents				1	18	19	95%	95%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear				1	18	19	95%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees				1	19	20	95%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts					19	19	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well				2	17	19	89%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate				5	14	19	74%	89%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate					19	19	100%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to				1	18	19	95%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met				2	17	19	89%	92%
This training will be of practical use in your work				1	18	19	95%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training					19	19	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker					19	19	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						19	-	
Taking of Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						11	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						7	-	
Gender								%
Man						11	58%	
Woman						8	42%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I completely agree / Total number of responses

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Alexandr
2	Ana Clara
3	André Tei
4	Bruno Mi
5	Carla Cel
6	Diva Jard
7	Elisabete
8	Maria Cla
9	Rui Rena
10	Sara Ben
11	Virgínia M

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Alberto
2	Avelino
3	Carla M
4	Catari
5	Danilo
6	João G
7	João L
8	José C
9	José C
10	Lurdes
11	Maria c
12	Miguel
13	Nádia
14	Nelson
15	Pedro
16	Pedro
17	Fátima
18	Ricard
19	Susan

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)





IMPROVE JUSTICE
COURT-TO-COURT

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

