

Evaluation report



Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil
and commercial matters*

Destinatários: Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da
área civil e comercial

Target audience: Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks
working in the civil and commercial areas



Viseu District Judicial Court

4th June 2024



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Index

Introduction 3

Characterization of the Group of Trainees..... 3

Global Results..... 3

 Global Assessment - Judges 3

 Global Assessment – Judicial Officers 3

Results by Question..... 3

 Program Content - Judges 3

 Program Content – Judicial Officers..... 3

 Trainers – Judges..... 3

 Trainers – Judicial Officers 4

 Organization of Training – Judges 4

 Organization of Training – Judicial Officers..... 4

 Results and expectations – Judges..... 4

 Results and expectations – Judicial Officers 4

 Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges..... 4

 Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers 5

 Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges..... 5

 Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers 5

 Gender – Judges 5

 Gender – Judicial Officers..... 6

 Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training 7

 Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training 8

 Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges..... 9

 Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers..... 9

 Annex V – Photographs 10





Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the training process evaluation questionnaires administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was carried out.

Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 6 judges from the civil and commercial area, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Viseu and who registered for the training course, either through the online form provided for this purpose or through the District Court Judge.

The second group of trainees was made up of 26 Judicial Officers from the civil and commercial area, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Viseu and who registered for the training course, either through the online form provided for this purpose, or through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

Global Results

Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 100% of trainees with *“Totally Agree”*.

Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: *“Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training”* and *“Would I recommend this training to a co-worker”* were answered by 73% of the trainees with *“I Totally Agree”*.

Results by Question

Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 89%.

Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 49%.

Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 97%.





Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 74%.

Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 83%.

Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 55%.

Results and expectations – Judges

The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 83% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 56% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 2 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:

- Carrying out more training activities on a regular basis within the scope of other European Regulations.
- Training in succession.

Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was answered by 10 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Increase the duration of training actions.
- Practical component.

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Gender – Judges



Gender – Judicial Officers



Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJust2C - GA 101138174)

Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training

TOPICS: VISEU (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	89%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	97%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	0	1	1	4	6	67%	83%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	1	0	5	6	83%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	83%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	0	1	5	6	83%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	100%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	0	0	6	6	100%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						6	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 861/2007						2	-	
Gender								
Man						2	33%	
Woman						4	67%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training

TOPICS: VISEU (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
Program content								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	4	7	15	26	58%	49%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	2	7	17	26	65%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	2	7	11	6	26	23%	
Trainers								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	1	9	16	26	62%	74%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	1	5	20	26	77%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees **	0	0	0	8	17	25	68%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	0	3	23	26	88%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	7	19	26	73%	
Organization of training								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	1	4	14	7	26	27%	55%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	3	9	14	26	54%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	2	2	22	26	85%	
Results and expectations								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	1	1	12	12	26	46%	56%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	1	8	17	26	65%	
Overall assessment								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	1	6	19	26	73%	73%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	1	6	19	26	73%	
Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						24	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						21	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						6	-	
Gender								%
Man						13	50%	
Woman						13	50%	
Other						0	0%	

* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

** Question ignored by 1 trainee

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges

1	Ana
2	Célia
3	Fern
4	Man
5	Mari
6	Olga

Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers

1	Adalbert
2	Alberto M
3	Ana Mar
4	Ana Pau
5	Anabela
6	Antonio
7	Carlos A
8	Carlos M
9	Carlos N
10	Claudina
11	Cristina
12	João Ab
13	José Ma
14	Maria de
15	Maria Ec
16	Maria Jo
17	Maria Pr
18	Paulo Al
19	Paulo Jc
20	Paulo Jc
21	Paulo M
22	Rosa Lo
23	Rosa Mæ
24	Rui Joãc
25	Susana
26	Victor Ve

Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

Annex V – Photographs

