

## Evaluation report



### Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em  
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil  
and commercial matters*

**Destinatários:** Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da  
área civil e comercial

**Target audience:** Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks  
working in the civil and commercial areas



## Castelo Branco District Judicial Court

**11<sup>th</sup> December 2024**



## Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Index

Introduction .....	3
Characterization of the Group of Trainees.....	3
Global Results.....	3
Global Assessment - Judges .....	3
Global Assessment – Judicial Officers .....	3
Results by Question.....	3
Program Content - Judges .....	3
Program Content – Judicial Officers.....	3
Trainers – Judges.....	3
Trainers – Judicial Officers .....	4
Organization of Training – Judges .....	4
Organization of Training – Judicial Officers.....	4
Results and expectations – Judges.....	4
Results and expectations – Judicial Officers .....	4
Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges.....	4
Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers .....	5
Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges.....	5
Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers .....	5
Gender – Judges .....	5
Gender – Judicial Officers.....	6
Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training.....	7
Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training .....	8
Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges.....	9
Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers.....	9
Annex V – Photographs.....	10



## Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the training process evaluation questionnaires administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was carried out.

### Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 9 judges from the civil and commercial area, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Castelo Branco and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 15 Judicial Officers from the civil and commercial area, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Castelo Branco and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

### Global Results

#### Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 88% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

#### Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 53% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

### Results by Question

#### Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 63%.

#### Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 44%.

#### Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 85%.



**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Trainers – Judicial Officers**

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 60%.

**Organization of Training – Judges**

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 67%.

**Organization of Training – Judicial Officers**

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 51%.

**Results and expectations – Judges**


The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 81% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

**Results and expectations – Judicial Officers**

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 53% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

**Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges**

Among the six available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



### Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

#### Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



#### Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally, the answer point to:


- More time to explore more concrete cases.

#### Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers


This question was not answered by any of the trainees.

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Gender – Judges**



**Gender – Judicial Officers**



**Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training**

TOPICS: CASTELO BRANCO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Program content</b>								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives				2	6	8	75%	63%
The topics covered are useful for your work			1	2	5	8	63%	
The duration of the training was adequate			1	3	4	8	50%	
<b>Trainers</b>								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents		1		1	6	8	75%	85%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear		1			7	8	88%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees		1			7	8	88%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts				1	7	8	88%	
The trainers managed their training time well		1			7	8	88%	
<b>Organization of training</b>								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate			1	2	5	8	63%	67%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate				2	6	8	75%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	1			2	5	8	63%	
<b>Results and expectations</b>								
Pre-training expectations were met		1		1	6	8	75%	81%
This training will be of practical use in your work			1		7	8	88%	
<b>Overall assessment</b>								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1		7	8	88%	88%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker		1			7	8	88%	
<b>Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?</b>								
Brussels I – Regulation 1215/2012						1	-	
Brussels II Ter – Regulation 2019/1111						2	-	
Rome I – Regulation 593/2008						1	-	
Rome II – Regulation 864/2007						1	-	
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						7	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						6	-	
<b>Gender</b>								
Man						2	22%	
Woman						7	78%	
Other						0	0%	

\* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

**Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training**

TOPICS: CASTELO BRANCO (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Program content</b>								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives			1	4	10	15	67%	44%
The topics covered are useful for your work			3	6	6	15	40%	
The duration of the training was adequate		2	3	6	4	15	27%	
<b>Trainers</b>								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents			2	5	8	15	53%	60%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear			1	4	10	15	67%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees			1	5	9	15	60%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts			1	4	10	15	67%	
The trainers managed their training time well			2	5	8	15	53%	
<b>Organization of training</b>								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate		1	4	4	6	15	40%	51%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate			4	4	7	15	47%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to			3	2	10	15	67%	
<b>Results and expectations</b>								
Pre-training expectations were met		1	4	4	6	15	40%	43%
This training will be of practical use in your work			4	4	7	15	47%	
<b>Overall assessment</b>								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training			1	7	7	15	47%	53%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker			1	5	9	15	60%	
<b>Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?</b>								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						11	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						9	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						4	-	
<b>Gender</b>								
Man						6	40%	
Woman						9	60%	
Other						0	0%	

\* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges**

	<b>Nome</b>
1	Ale
2	Ana C
3	Ana So
4	La
5	Marta
6	Miguel Maur
7	R
8	Ri
9	Va

**Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers**

1	Ana P
2	Anabe
3	Antóni
4	Célia c
5	Cristin
6	Eduan
7	João F
8	José C
9	Maria
10	Maria
11	Maria
12	Maria
13	Maria
14	Sérgio
15	Tito Lí

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex V – Photographs**

