

## Evaluation report



### Sessões de Direito Comunitário EU law sessions

*Instrumentos de Cooperação Judiciária Europeia em  
matéria civil e comercial*

*European Judicial Cooperation Instruments in civil  
and commercial matters*

**Destinatários:** Magistrados Judiciais e Oficiais de Justiça da  
área civil e comercial

**Target audience:** Judicial Magistrates and Court Clerks  
working in the civil and commercial areas



## Aveiro District Judicial Court

5<sup>th</sup> June 2024



**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Index**

Introduction ..... 3

Characterization of the Group of Trainees..... 3

Global Results..... 3

    Global Assessment - Judges ..... 3

    Global Assessment – Judicial Officers ..... 3

Results by Question..... 3

    Program Content - Judges ..... 3

    Program Content – Judicial Officers..... 3

    Trainers – Judges ..... 3

    Trainers – Judicial Officers ..... 4

    Organization of Training – Judges ..... 4

    Organization of Training – Judicial Officers..... 4

    Results and expectations – Judges..... 4

    Results and expectations – Judicial Officers ..... 4

    Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges ..... 4

    Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers ..... 5

    Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges..... 5

    Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers ..... 5

    Gender – Judges ..... 5

    Gender – Judicial Officers..... 6

        Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training ..... 7

        Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training ..... 8

        Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges..... 9

        Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers..... 9

        Annex V – Photographs ..... 11





## Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Introduction

This report results from the analysis of the training process evaluation questionnaires administered to all trainees anonymously. The questionnaire contained closed questions, which were treated through descriptive statistical analysis, and open questions to which content analysis was carried out.

### Characterization of the Group of Trainees

The first group of trainees was made up of 24 judges from the civil and commercial area, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Aveiro and who signed up for the training course through the Judge President of the District.

The second group of trainees was made up of 41 Judicial Officers from the civil and commercial area, working at the Judicial Court of the District of Aveiro and who signed up for the training course through the Judicial Administrator of the District.

### Global Results

#### Global Assessment - Judges

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 88% of trainees with “ Totally Agree ”.

#### Global Assessment – Judicial Officers

The questions: “ Overall, do you have a positive opinion of the training ” and “ Would I recommend this training to a co-worker ” were answered by 59% of the trainees with “ I Totally Agree ”.

### Results by Question

#### Program Content - Judges

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 83%.

#### Program Content – Judicial Officers

Questions relating to program content present, on average, a satisfaction rate of 46%.

#### Trainers – Judges

These questions have a high satisfaction rate, with an average rate of 82%.





## Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)

### Trainers – Judicial Officers

These questions have the highest satisfaction rate of all the answers under analysis, with an average satisfaction rate of 49%.

### Organization of Training – Judges

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 65%.

### Organization of Training – Judicial Officers

Issues relating to supporting documentation, administrative support and compliance with the training schedule present an average rate of 31%.

### Results and expectations – Judges


The questions “ *Were the expectations prior to the training met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 64% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

### Results and expectations – Judicial Officers

The questions “ *Expectations prior to the training were met* ” and “ *This training will be practical in your work* ” received 49% of responses “ *I completely agree* ”.

### Most useful materials for professional activity – Judges

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Most useful materials for professional activity – Judicial Officers**

Among the three available options, allowing multiple answers, Regulation (EU) 2020/1784, relating to service of documents, and Regulation (EU) 2020/1783, relating to taking of evidence, were considered by the trainees as the subjects more useful for your professional activity.



**Comments and/ or suggestions – Judges**

This question was answered by 1 trainee.

Generally, the answer point to:

- Providing documentation about the Internet tools that can be used and how they work, so that you know how to proceed and the forms to use in each case.

**Comments and/or suggestions – Judicial Officers**

This question was answered by 7 trainees.

Generally, the answers point to:


- Need for more training, considering the increase in the number of cross-border requests.
- Increase the duration of training actions.
- Practical component.

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Gender – Judges**



**Gender – Judicial Officers**



**Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJust2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex I – Statistical map – Judge Training**

TOPICS: AVEIRO (Judges)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Program content</b>								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	0	1	20	21	95%	83%
The topics covered are useful for your work	0	0	0	5	16	21	76%	
The duration of the training was adequate	0	0	0	5	16	21	76%	
<b>Trainers</b>								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	1	6	14	21	67%	82%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	0	3	18	21	86%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees	0	0	1	4	16	21	76%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts	0	0	1	3	20	24	83%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	0	0	21	21	100%	
<b>Organization of training</b>								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate	0	0	3	9	9	21	43%	65%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	0	3	18	21	86%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	0	0	7	14	21	67%	
<b>Results and expectations</b>								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	0	9	12	21	57%	64%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	0	6	15	21	71%	
<b>Overall assessment</b>								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	0	4	17	21	81%	88%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	0	1	20	21	95%	
<b>Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work?</b>								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						17	-	
Taking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						18	-	
Brussels IIB – Regulation 2019/1111						8	-	
<b>Gender</b>								<b>%</b>
Man						6	29%	
Woman						15	71%	
Other							0%	

\* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

**Project Improve Justice Court to Court (IJust2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex II – Statistical map – Court Officer Training**

TOPICS: AVEIRO (Judicial Officers)	Totally disagree	I disagree	I do not agree nor disagree	I agree	I totally agree	TOTAL	Satisfaction Degree *	Average
	1	2	3	4	5			
<b>Program content</b>								
The program contents were adapted to the training objectives	0	0	2	12	15	29	52%	46%
The topics covered are useful for your work **	0	0	1	7	20	28	71%	
The duration of the training was adequate **	1	6	9	8	4	28	14%	
<b>Trainers</b>								
The pedagogical methodology used was appropriate to the programmatic contents	0	0	3	15	11	29	38%	49%
The presentation of content by the trainers was clear	0	0	1	12	14	27	52%	
The trainers were able to motivate the trainees ***	0	0	3	12	9	24	38%	
Trainers demonstrated the ability to support trainees and clarify doubts ***	0	0	0	10	15	25	60%	
The trainers managed their training time well	0	0	2	9	15	26	58%	
<b>Organization of training</b>								
The supporting documentation distributed was relevant and appropriate **	0	2	6	12	8	28	29%	31%
Administrative support from the project management team was adequate	0	0	5	13	8	26	31%	
Training schedules and agenda were adhered to	0	1	13	4	9	27	33%	
<b>Results and expectations</b>								
Pre-training expectations were met	0	0	7	12	9	28	32%	49%
This training will be of practical use in your work	0	0	2	7	17	26	65%	
<b>Overall assessment</b>								
Overall he has a positive opinion of the training	0	0	1	11	15	27	56%	59%
I would recommend this training to a co-worker	0	0	2	8	17	27	63%	
<b>Of the following subjects, which did you find most useful for your work? ****</b>								
Service of Documents – Regulation 2020/1784						27	-	
Tacking Evidence – Regulation 2020/1783						23	-	
Small claims – Regulation 861/2007						5	-	
<b>Gender</b>								
Man						10	32%	
Woman						21	68%	
Other						0	0%	

\* = Number of responses I *completely agree* / Total number of responses

\*\* Question ignored by 1 trainee

\*\*\* Question ignored by 2 trainees

\*\*\*\* Question ignored by 4 trainees

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex III - List of Trainees – Judges**

1	Ana d
2	Ana L
3	Carla
4	Catari
5	Cláud
6	Danie
7	Danie
8	Helen
9	Inês M
10	Joana
11	Joana
12	Jorge
13	José I
14	José I
15	Maria
16	Maria
17	Maria
18	Nádia
19	Isabel
20	Teres
21	Nuno
22	Nuno
23	Raque
24	Ricarc

**Annex IV - List of Trainees – Judicial Officers**

1	Adelino
2	Adelino
3	Ana Ne
4	Ana Oti
5	Antónic
6	Antónic
7	Carla A
8	Carlos .
9	Carlos
10	Carlos

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

11	Cristina
12	Décio
13	Domingos
14	Emília
15	Estefân
16	Eva
17	Fátima
18	Florbel
19	Gracino
20	Guida
21	Helena
22	Isabel
23	Márcia
24	Margar
25	Maria A
26	Maria B
27	Maria J
28	Maria L
29	Maria M
30	Maria F
31	Marlene
32	Mónica
33	Paulo C
34	Paulo J
35	Paulo M
36	Raquel
37	Sílvia F
38	Sophia
39	Tânia C
40	Tatiana
41	Telma

**Project *Improve Justice Court to Court* (IJustC2C - GA 101138174)**

**Annex V – Photographs**

